KATHLEEN MARION CARR Office of the Field Solicitor 550 W. Fort Street, MSC 020 Boise, Idaho 83724 Telephone: (208) 334-1911

Telephone: (208) 334-1911 Facsimile: (208) 334-1918

For the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation

DEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF DISTRIBUTION OF WATER TO VARIOUS WATER RIGHTS HELD BY OR FOR THE BENEFIT OF A&B IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR DISTRICT #2, BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT, NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY, AND TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY

RECLAMATION'S PROTEST TO IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS' INITIAL PLAN FOR PROVIDING REPLACEMENT WATER

The U. S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation), by and through its attorney Kathleen Marion Carr, Office of the Field Solicitor, pursuant to IDAPA 37.01.01.250 hereby files its protest in the above-captioned contested case to the Idaho Ground Water Districts' (IGWA) Replacement Water Plan. As described herein, Reclamation is willing to accept the initial 27,700 acre feet of "replacement water" for the 2005 season only, but it objects to the rest of the submitted plan on the basis that the proper procedure to consider a replacement plan and mitigation credits is under the Conjunctive Management Rules through a

Reclamation's address is 1150 North Curtis Road, Suite 100, PN-3100, Boise, ID

submitted mitigation plan. Reclamation does not waive its right to contest the Director's determination of the legal and factual basis for the replacement water in other proceedings.

BACKGROUND

On January 14, 2005, the seven irrigation districts, reservoir district, and canal companies named in the caption and referred to as the Surface Water Coalition (Surface Coalition) made a water delivery call to which the Director responded and issued an Order on April 19, 2005, and Amended Order on May 2, 2005 (Amended Order).

On February 8, 2005, IGWA filed a proposed mitigation plan with the Director pursuant to Rule 43 of the Idaho Department of Water Resources' (IDWR) Rules of Conjunctive Management in a separate case than that of the surface water call. On March 21, 2005, Reclamation filed both a protest to the Mitigation Plan and a Motion to Dismiss the Mitigation Application. In response to Motion for a Continuance of the Hearing on the Mitigation Plan, on March 18, 2005, the Director granted the continuance.

Subsequently, the Director issued the Amended Order in the surface call proceedings. The Amended Order required IGWA to provide to the Director a plan of replacement water for at least 27,700 acre feet or other mitigation in lieu of curtailment by April 29, 2005. *Amended Order* at 46 \P 9, and 46 \P 5. The Director stated that he intended to act on the plan on or about May 6, 2005, or as soon thereafter as practicable. *Amended Order* at 46 \P 5.

Thus, in accordance with the Director's Amended Order IGWA filed its Initial Plan for Providing Replacement Water in this case on April 29, 2005.

CONTESTED POINTS

A. Whether the Director May Request a Replacement Water Plan in Lieu of an Approved Mitigation Plan Under the Conjunctive Management Rules

Reclamation asserts that the Conjunctive Management Rules provide only one process for the consideration of replacement water—through the submission of a mitigation plan. *See* IDAPA § 37.03.11.043. Therefore, the Director's request for a replacement water plan in lieu of curtailment is contrary to IDWR's promulgated rules. Nonetheless, it is Reclamation's position, that it will not oppose the implementation of A(1) of the Replacement Water plan for this year. Reclamation reserves the right, however, to contest the legal and factual basis for the amount of replacement water needed in 2005 though a hearing on either the Amended Order or IGWA's mitigation plan. Further, Reclamation does not waive its right to contest the legal and factual basis of future replacement water plans submitted either by IGWA or other junior water users.

B. Other Provisions in IGWA's Replacement Water Plan are Inappropriate

Reclamation contests Subsection A(2) of Replacement Water Plan since it appears to be part of a larger effort that IGWA has undertaken to recharge the Thousand Springs reach due to the trout farm calls, and for which it wishes to receive credit in this proceeding. Reclamation contests Section B of IGWA's Replacement Water Plan in regards to "Mitigation Credits" since it is not a foregone conclusion that credits can and should be given for either reach gains that may occur or other mitigation plans. These provisions are only appropriate, if at all, to be included in a mitigation plan, and only implemented after a hearing is held to determine when and how those credits and other measures should occur. *See* IDAPA § 37.03.11.043.03 ("Factors to be Considered" in Submission of Mitigation Plans).

RECLAMATION'S PROTEST TO IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS' INITIAL PLAN FOR PROVIDING REPLACEMENT WATER

C. IGWA's Mitigation Plan is Not Appropriate to Incorporate into a Replacement Water Plan.

Reclamation also protests the incorporation of IGWA's Mitigation Plan into its Replacement Water Plan since this is inconsistent with the Director's Amended Order and the Conjunctive Management Rules. The act of incorporating a mitigation plan into a replacement water plan will effectively bypass the procedures enumerated in the Conjunctive Management Rules. Those procedures provide a process for the careful review of the various factors to be considered in processing a mitigation plan, they allow parties an opportunity to contest the submissions under these factors, and they provide an opportunity for a hearing where the Director may consider both sides of the argument as provided under I.C. § 42-222. See IDAPA 37.03.11.43.02. As a consequence, Reclamation opposes any incorporation of IGWA's Mitigation Plan into its Replacement Water Plan since it will occur without benefit of these procedures.

Furthermore, because of IGWA's request to incorporate its Mitigation Plan into its
Replacement Water Plan, Reclamation hereby renews and incorporates its Motion to Dismiss
Mitigation Application or in the Alternative to Request Hearing to Be Reset that it filed *In the*Matter of Ground Water Districts' Application for Approval of Mitigation Plan For the

American Falls Reach of the Snake River (March 21, 2005) into this filing for the reason set forth therein.

CONCLUSION

The Director must act in compliance with his Conjunctive Management Rules. The Conjunctive Management Rules do not contemplate the requirement of a replacement water plan except in the context of an approved mitigation plan. Reclamation recognizes that IGWA has prepared a plan within a limited time frame and in an attempt to comply with the Director's Amended Order, and as such it will not oppose the implementation of the Replacement Water Plan subsection A(1) of the 27,700 acre feet for the 2005 as explained above.

RECLAMATION'S PROTEST TO IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS'
INITIAL PLAN FOR PROVIDING REPLACEMENT WATER

Relief Requested

- 1. Reclamation requests that its protest be granted against the Replacement Water Plan to the extent the Director approves any of IGWA's plan beyond implementation of A(1) for the 2005 water year.
- 2. Reclamation renews its Motion to Dismiss IGWA's mitigation plan, and seeks to require IGWA to refile it to include all the necessary information required by the conjunctive management rules.

Dated this ____ day of May, 2005.

KATHLEEN MARION CARR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that on the 6TH day of May 2005, a true and correct copy of **RECLAMATION'S PROTEST TO IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS' INITIAL PLAN FOR PROVIDING REPLACEMENT WATER** was served on the following person(s) as shown below:

Director, Karl J. Dreher Idaho Department of Water Resources 322 East Front Street P.O. Box 83720 Boise, ID 83720-0098 By Hand Carry and Facsimile (208) 287-6700

W. Kent Fletcher Fletcher Law Office P. O. Box 248 Burley, ID 83318 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 878-2548

Jeffrey C. Fereday Michael C. Creamer Givens Pursley, LLP P. O. Box 2720 Boise, ID 83701 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 388-1300

James Tucker Idaho Power Company 1221 W. Idaho St. Boise ID 83702 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 388-6935

C. Thomas Arkoosh Arkoosh Law Office, Chtd. P. O. Box 32 Gooding, ID 83330-0032 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 934-8873

Josephine P. Beeman Beeman & Associates, P.C. 409 West Jefferson Street Boise, ID 83702 By U.S. Mail and Facisimile (208)331-0954 John K. Simpson Barker Rosholt & Simpson, LLP P. O. Box 2139 Boise, ID 83701-2139 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 344-6034

Roger Ling Ling Robinson & Walker P. O. Box 396 Rupert, ID 83350 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 436-6804

James S. Lochhead Adam T. DeVoe Brownstein Hyatt & Farber, P.C. 410 17th St., 22nd Floor Denver, CO 80202 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (303) 223-1111

Scott L. Campbell Moffatt Thomas Barrett Rock & Fields, Chtd P. O. Box 829 Boise, ID 83701 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 385-5384

Idaho Department of Water Resources Eastern Region Office 900 N. Skyline Dr. Idaho Falls, ID 83402-6105 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 525-7177

Michael S. Gilmore
Deputy Attorney General, State of Idaho
Statehouse, Room 210
Boise, ID 83720
By U.S. Mail and Facsimile
(208) 334-2830

Idaho Department of Water Resources Southern Region Office 1341 Fillmore St., Ste. 200 Twin Falls, ID 83301-3033 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 736-3037

Sarah A. Klahn
WHITE & JANKOWSKI, LLP
511 16TH Street, Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202
By U.S. Mail and Facsimile
(303) 825-5632

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation E. Gail McGarry, PN-3100 1150 N. Curtis Road Boise, ID 83706-1234 By U.S. Mail and Facsimile (208) 378-5305

KATHLEEN MARION CARR